

Some non-existence and asymptotic existence results for weighing matrices

Ebrahim Ghaderpour ¹

*Lassonde School of Engineering
York University, Canada*

Abstract

Orthogonal designs and weighing matrices have many applications in areas such as coding theory, cryptography, wireless networking and communication. In this paper, we first show that if positive integer k cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares, then there does not exist any skew-symmetric weighing matrix of order $4n$ and weight k , where n is an odd positive integer. Then we show that for any square k , there is an integer $N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N(k)$, there is a symmetric weighing matrix of order n and weight k . Moreover, we improve some of the asymptotic existence results for weighing matrices obtained by Eades, Geramita and Seberry.

Keywords: Asymptotic existence; Orthogonal design; Skew-symmetric weighing matrix; Symmetric weighing matrix; Weighing matrix.

1 Introduction

An *orthogonal design* (OD) [3, Chapter 1] of order n and type $(s_1, \dots, s_\ell)$, denoted $OD(n; s_1, \dots, s_\ell)$, is a square matrix X of order n with entries from $\{0, \pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_\ell\}$, where the x_j 's are commuting variables, that satisfies

$$XX^T = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} s_j x_j^2 \right) I_n,$$

where X^T is the transpose of X , and I_n is the identity matrix of order n . An OD with no zero entry is called a full OD. Equating all variables to 1

¹E-mail: ebig2@yorku.ca.

in any full OD results in a Hadamard matrix. Equating all variables to 1 in any OD of order n results a weighing matrix, denoted $W(n, k)$, where k is the weight that is the number of nonzero entries in each row (column) of the weighing matrix.

The *Kronecker product* of two matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$ and B of orders $m \times n$ and $r \times s$, respectively, is denoted by $A \otimes B$, and it is the matrix of order $mr \times ns$ defined by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

The *direct sum* of A and B is denoted by $A \oplus B$, and it is the matrix of order $(m + r) \times (n + s)$ which is defined as follows

$$A \oplus B = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix},$$

where 0 represents a zero matrix of appropriate dimension.

Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $D = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$, where a_i 's and b_i 's belong to a commutative ring (e.g., $\mathbb{R}$). Square matrix $C = [c_{ij}]$ of order n is called *circulant* if $c_{ij} = a_{j-i+1}$, where $j - i$ is reduced modulo n . Square matrix $B = [b_{ij}]$ of order n is called *back-circulant* if $b_{ij} = d_{i+j-1}$, where $i + j - 2$ is reduced modulo n . We have $BC^T = CB^T$ and $B = B^T$, i.e., any back-circulant matrix is symmetric. Let $R = [r_{ij}]$ be a square matrix of order n , where $r_{ij} = 1$ if $i + j = 1$ modulo n and $r_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Matrix R is called *back-diagonal* matrix. It is not hard to see that matrix CR is back-circulant and so symmetric (cf., [3, Chapter 4]).

A *rational family* of order n and type $(s_1, \dots, s_k)$, where the s_i 's are positive rational numbers, is a collection of k rational matrices of order n , $A_1, \dots, A_k$, that satisfy $A_i A_i^T = s_i I_n$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) and $A_i A_j^T = -A_j A_i^T$, ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$). A square matrix A is skew-symmetric if $A^T = -A$. Two matrices of the same dimension are *disjoint* if their entrywise multiplication is a zero matrix [3, Chapters 1, 2].

Eades and Seberry [3, Chapter 7] showed some existence results for weighing matrices. They showed that when the order of ODs and weighing matrices are *much larger* than the number of nonzero entries in each row, the necessary conditions for existence of ODs and weighing matrices are also sufficient. In this paper, we show some non-existence results on weighing matrices and some asymptotic results for existence of weighing matrices.

2 Non-existence results for weighing matrices

In this section, we show some non-existence results for weighing matrices. The results are summarized in Theorems 2.1, 2.2 (known) and Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 2.1. (e.g., [4, Chapter 2]). *The eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix with real entries are real.*

Lemma 2.2. (e.g., [4, Chapter 2]). *The eigenvalues of a skew-symmetric matrix with real entries are of the form $\pm ib$, where b is a real number.*

Lemma 2.3 (e.g., [3]). *The absolute values of the eigenvalues of a weighing matrix $W(n, k)$ are $\sqrt{k}$.*

Theorem 2.1 (e.g., [1]). *There does not exist any symmetric weighing matrix with zero diagonal of odd order.*

Proof. Suppose that $W = W(n, k)$, n odd, is a symmetric weighing matrix with zero diagonal. From Linear Algebra, $\text{tr}(W) = \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t$, where λ_t 's are eigenvalues of W . By Lemma 2.1 and 2.3, since $\lambda_t = \pm\sqrt{k}$,

$$\text{tr}(W) = \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t = c\sqrt{k}.$$

Since n is odd, c must be odd and therefore nonzero, but, by assumption, $\text{tr}(W) = 0$, which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 2.2 (e.g., [1]). *There is no skew-symmetric weighing matrix of odd order.*

Proof. Assume that $W = W(n, k)$ is a skew-symmetric weighing matrix of odd order. From Lemma 2.2 and 2.3, eigenvalues of W are in form $\pm i\sqrt{k}$. Therefore,

$$\text{tr}(W) = \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t = ci\sqrt{k}.$$

Since n is odd, c must be odd and so nonzero, but since W is skew-symmetric, $\text{tr}(W) = 0$ which is a contradiction. □

Next, we show that if n is any odd number and k cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares, then there is no skew-symmetric weighing matrix $W(4n, k)$. To do so, we first bring the following well-known results.

Lemma 2.4 (e.g., [9]). *A positive integer can be written as the sum of three integer squares if and only if it is not of the form $4^\ell(8k+7)$, where $\ell, k \geq 0$.*

The following Lemma is a useful result that can be concluded from Lemma 2.4, and for the sake of completion, we bring its proof.

Lemma 2.5 (e.g., [9]). *A positive integer is the sum of three rational squares if and only if it is the sum of three integer squares.*

Proof. Suppose that a positive integer n is the sum of three rational squares. Reducing the three rational numbers to the same denominator, one may write

$$m^2n = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2,$$

where α, β and γ are integers. Suppose that n cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares. From Lemma 2.4, there exist nonnegative integers k, ℓ such that $n = 4^\ell(8k+7)$. One may write m as $2^r(2s+1)$, for some nonnegative integers r, s . Thus, $m^2 = 4^r(4(s^2+s)+1) = 4^r(8b+1)$, where $b = (s^2+s)/2$ is a nonnegative integer, and so

$$m^2n = 4^{r+\ell}(8k+7)(8b+1) = 4^{r+\ell}(8c+7),$$

where $c = 8kb + k + 7b$. This is a contradiction because by Lemma 2.4, m^2n cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares, whereas by assumption $m^2n = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2$. Therefore, the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 2.6 (Shapiro [10]). *There is a rational family in order $n = 2^m t$, t odd, of type $(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ if and only if there is a rational family of the same type in order 2^m .*

Lemma 2.7 (Geramita-Wallis [3]). *A necessary and sufficient condition that there be a rational family of type $[1, k]$ in order 4 is that k be a sum of three rational squares.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{A, B\}$ is a rational family of type $[1, k]$ in order 4. Then

$\{I = A^T A, D = A^T B\}$ is also a rational family of the same type and order. Thus $D = -D^T$ and $DD^T = kI$. Since D is skew-symmetric, the diagonal of D is zero, so k is a sum of three rational squares.

Now let $k = a^2 + b^2 + c^2$, where a, b and c are rational numbers. If we let

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & -c & b \\ -b & c & 0 & -a \\ -c & -b & a & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\{I, D\}$ is a rational family of type $[1, k]$ and order 4. $\square$

We use Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 to prove the following nonexistence result.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that positive integer k cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares. Then there does not exist a skew-symmetric $W(4n, k)$, for any odd number n .*

Proof. If there is a skew-symmetric $W = W(4n, k)$ for some odd number n , then $\{I_{4n}, W\}$ is a rational family of type $[1, k]$ and order $4n$. Thus, by Lemma 2.6, there is a rational family of type $[1, k]$ and order 4. Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7 imply that k must be the sum of three integer squares. $\square$

3 Asymptotic existence results of weighing matrices

In this section, we provide some asymptotic results for existence of weighing matrices. These results are summarized in Theorem 3.4 and Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 (for ODs) that use different methodologies to improve the known results shown by Eades, Geramita and Seberry [3, Chapter 7].

Lemma 3.1 (e.g., [3]). *A necessary and sufficient condition that there exists an $OD(n; u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is that there exists a family $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of pairwise disjoint square matrices of order n with entries from $\{0, \pm 1\}$ satisfying*

- (i) A_i is a $W(n, u_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- (ii) $A_i A_j^T = -A_j A_i^T$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$.

The following lemma, due to Sylvester, is known, and we bring its proof.

Lemma 3.2 ([11]). *Let x and y be two relatively prime positive integers. Then every integer $N \geq xy$ can be written in the form $ax + by$, where a and b are nonnegative integers.*

Proof. Let N be an integer greater than or equal to xy . Since x and y are relatively prime, there are integers c and d such that $cx + dy = N$ (see [9]). So,

$$(c + jy)x + (d - jx)y = N,$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. One can choose j such that $0 \leq c + jy \leq y - 1$. For such j , we let $a = c + jy$ and $b = d - jx$. The condition $N \geq xy$ implies that b must be positive. $\square$

The following lemma shows how to construct ODs of higher orders by using two ODs of the same types but different orders. The first part of the lemma is known (cf., [3, Lemma 7.22]).

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that there are $A = OD(n_1; u_1, \dots, u_m)$ and $B = OD(n_2; u_1, \dots, u_m)$. Let $h = \gcd(n_1, n_2)$. Then there is an integer N such that for each $t \geq N$, there is an $OD(ht; u_1, \dots, u_m)$. Moreover, if A and B are symmetric, then there is an integer N such that for each $t \geq N$, there is a symmetric $OD(ht; u_1, \dots, u_m)$.*

Proof. Let $x = n_1/h$ and $y = n_2/h$. Then x and y are relatively prime. Let $N = xy$, and t be a positive integer $\geq N$. By Lemma 3.2, there are nonnegative integers a and b such that $t = ax + by$. Since there exist $OD(n_1; u_1, \dots, u_m)$ and $OD(n_2; u_1, \dots, u_m)$, there are families $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ of order n_1 and $\{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$ of order n_2 satisfying the conditions in Lemma 3.1. We define the family

$$S = \{(I_a \otimes A_1 \oplus I_b \otimes B_1), \dots, (I_a \otimes A_m \oplus I_b \otimes B_m)\}$$

of order $an_1 + bn_2 = ht$. It can be seen that this family satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1, therefore it makes an $OD(ht; u_1, \dots, u_m)$.

Now if A and B are symmetric, then A_i 's and B_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq m$, are all symmetric. Since

$$((A \otimes B) \oplus (C \otimes D))^T = (A^T \otimes B^T) \oplus (C^T \otimes D^T),$$

set S consists of m symmetric matrices of order ht satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.1, and so they generate a symmetric $OD(ht; u_1, \dots, u_m)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.1 (Seberry-Whiteman [8]). *Let q be a prime power. Then there is a circulant $W(q^2 + q + 1, q^2)$.*

Corollary 3.1 ([2, 3]). *Suppose that q is a prime power and c is any positive integer. Then there is a circulant $W(c(q^2 + q + 1), q^2)$.*

Proof. Let c be a fixed positive integer. From Theorem 3.1, we know that there exists a circulant $W(q^2 + q + 1, q^2)$. Suppose that the first row of this matrix is $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q^2+q+1})$. Let

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{q^2+q+1} a_i x^i.$$

Thus, $\phi(\xi)\phi(\xi^{-1}) = q^2$, where ξ is a primitive root of unity and $\xi^{q^2+q+1} = 1$. For $1 \leq j \leq c(q^2 + q + 1)$ define

$$b_j := \begin{cases} a_{\lceil \frac{j}{c} \rceil} & j \equiv 1 \pmod{c} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to x . We show that if $W = \text{circ}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{c(q^2+q+1)})$, then W is a $W(c(q^2 + q + 1), q^2)$. To see this, let

$$\psi(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} b_j y^j.$$

Thus we have

$$\psi(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{q^2+q+1} a_i y^{c(i-1)+1} = y^{1-c} \sum_{i=1}^{q^2+q+1} a_i y^{ci}.$$

Since $\phi(\xi)\phi(\xi^{-1}) = q^2$, for all ξ such that $\xi^{q^2+q+1} = 1$, $\psi(\xi)\psi(\xi^{-1}) = q^2$, for all ξ such that $\xi^{q^2+q+1} = 1$. Applying the finite Parseval relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} b_i b_{i+r} = \frac{1}{c(q^2 + q + 1)} \sum_{j=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} |\psi(\xi^j)|^2 \xi^{jr},$$

where $i + r - 1$ is reduced modulo $c(q^2 + q + 1)$, for $r = 0$ gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} b_i^2 = \frac{1}{c(q^2 + q + 1)} (c(q^2 + q + 1)q^2) = q^2,$$

and for $1 \leq r \leq c(q^2 + q + 1) - 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} b_i b_{i+r} = 0$. Therefore, W is a circulant $W(c(q^2 + q + 1), q^2)$. $\square$

The next lemma shows how to make a symmetric OD to be used for Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 3.4. *Let k be a positive integer. Then there exists a symmetric $OD(2^k; 1_{(k)})$.*

Proof. Define $A_1 = \otimes_{m=1}^k P$ and for $2 \leq n \leq k$, $A_n = \otimes_{m=1}^{n-2} I \otimes Q \otimes_{m=n}^k P$, where

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It can be directly verified that the family $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of order 2^k satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1, and therefore it makes a symmetric $OD(2^k; 1_{(k)})$. Note that P, Q and I are symmetric. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 (Robinson [7]). *All $OD(2^t; 1, 1, a, b, c)$ exist, where $t \geq 3$ and $a + b + c = 2^t - 2$.*

We prove the following well known lemma by giving a proof which is different from the proof in [3, Lemma 7.27].

Lemma 3.5. *For any sequence (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) of positive integers, there is a positive integer d such that there is a skew-symmetric $OD(2^d; k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$.*

Proof. Let t_1 and t_2 be the smallest positive integers such that $1 + k_1 + k_2 \leq 2^{t_1}$ and $1 + k_3 + k_4 \leq 2^{t_2}$. By Theorem 3.2, there are $A = OD(2^{t_1}; 1, k_1, k_2)$ and $B = OD(2^{t_2}; 1, k_3, k_4)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\{I_{2^{t_1}}, A_1, A_2\}$ and $\{I_{2^{t_2}}, B_1, B_2\}$ are two families corresponding to A and B satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.1. Let P and Q be the same matrices as in the proof of Lemma 3.4. It can be directly verified that the family

$$\{I_{2^{t_2}} \otimes A_1 \otimes P, I_{2^{t_2}} \otimes A_2 \otimes P, B_1 \otimes I_{2^{t_1}} \otimes Q, B_2 \otimes I_{2^{t_1}} \otimes Q\}$$

of four skew-symmetric matrices satisfies all conditions of Lemma 3.1, and so it makes a skew-symmetric $OD(2^{t_1+t_2+1}; k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.2 ([3]). *Given any sequence (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) of positive integers, there exists a positive integer d such that there is an $OD(2^d; 1, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$.*

The following theorem, due to Geramita and Wallis, is known.

Theorem 3.3. (Geramita and Wallis [3, Theorem 7.14]). *Suppose that k is a square. Then there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a $W(n, k)$.*

We use a slightly different method to the proof of Theorem 3.3 to give a proof of the following improved result.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that k is a square. Then there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a symmetric $W(n, k)$.*

Proof. Assume that $k = \prod_{i=1}^m q_i^2$, where q_i is either 1 or a prime power. By Theorem 3.1, for each i there exists a circulant $W_i = W(q_i^2 + q_i + 1, q_i^2)$. Let

$$W = \otimes_{i=1}^m W_i R_i,$$

where R_i is the back-diagonal matrix of order $q_i^2 + q_i + 1$. It can be seen that W is a symmetric $W(\prod_{i=1}^m (q_i^2 + q_i + 1), \prod_{i=1}^m q_i^2)$.

Thus, there is an odd number $t = \prod_{i=1}^m (q_i^2 + q_i + 1)$ such that there is a symmetric $W(t, k)$. Moreover, from Lemma 3.4, there exists a symmetric $OD(2^k; 1_{(k)})$ and so a symmetric $W(2^k, k)$. Now since t is odd, $\gcd(2^k, t) = 1$. Lemma 3.3 implies that there is a positive integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there exists a symmetric $W(n, k)$. $\square$

We prove the following theorem by a slightly different method to the proof that first was given by Eades [2, 3, Theorem 7.15].

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that $k = k_1^2 + k_2^2$, where k_1 and k_2 are two nonzero integers. Then there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(2n; k_1^2, k_2^2)$.*

Proof. For $j = 1, 2$, let $k_j^2 = \prod_{i=1}^m q_{ij}^2$, where q_{ij} is either 1 or a prime power. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $b_i = \text{lcm}\{q_{i1}^2 + q_{i1} + 1, q_{i2}^2 + q_{i2} + 1\}$. From Corollary 3.1, for each j , $j = 1, 2$, and each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, there exists a circulant $W_{ij} = W(b_i, q_{ij}^2)$. It can be seen that the following $2q \times 2q$ matrix is an $OD(2q; k_1^2, k_2^2)$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \otimes_{i=1}^m W_{i1} R_i & y \otimes_{i=1}^m W_{i2} \\ y \otimes_{i=1}^m W_{i2} & -x \otimes_{i=1}^m W_{i1} R_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where R_i is the back-diagonal matrix of order b_i , and $q = \prod_{i=1}^m b_i$ is an odd number. From Theorem 3.2, one can choose the smallest positive integer k such that there is an $OD(2^k; k_1^2, k_2^2)$. Since $\gcd(2q, 2^k) = 2$, Lemma 3.3 implies that there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(2n; k_1^2, k_2^2)$. $\square$

Using the methodology in the proof of Theorem 3.5, the asymptotic bounds for the following two corollaries given by Eades [2] are improved.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that k is the sum of two nonzero integer squares. Then there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a $W(2n, k)$.*

Proof. Let $k = k_1^2 + k_2^2$, where k_1 and k_2 are integers. From Theorem 3.5, there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for any $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(2n; k_1^2, k_2^2)$, and so a $W(2n, k)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that d is an integer square. Then there exists an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a skew-symmetric $W(2n, d)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $d = a^2$. Let $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = a$. By Theorem 3.5, there exists an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(2n; 1, d)$, and so a skew-symmetric $W(2n, d)$. $\square$

We now use a different method to show Theorem 3.6 shown by Eades [2, 3, Theorem 7.17] to improve the bounds (N) for the asymptotic existence of ODs of order $4n$, and consequently we prove Corollaries 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that $k = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2$, where k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 are nonzero integers. Then there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(4n; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$.*

Proof. Assume that $k = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2$ and k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 are nonzero integers. Let $k_j^2 = \prod_{i=1}^m q_{ij}^2$, where q_{ij} is either 1 or a prime power. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $b_i = \text{lcm}\{q_{ij}^2 + q_{ij} + 1; j = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. From Corollary 3.1, for each j , $1 \leq j \leq 4$, and each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, there exists a circulant $W_{ij} = W(b_i, q_{ij}^2)$. Putting

$$A = \otimes_{i=1}^m W_{i1} R_i, \quad B = \otimes_{i=1}^m W_{i2}, \quad C = \otimes_{i=1}^m W_{i3}, \quad D = \otimes_{i=1}^m W_{i4},$$

in the following array (Goethals-Seidel [5]) gives an $OD(4q; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} xA & yB & zC & uD \\ -yB & xA & uD^T & -zC^T \\ -zC & -uD^T & xA & yB^T \\ -uD & zC^T & -yB^T & xA \end{bmatrix},$$

where $q = \prod_{i=1}^m b_i$ which is an odd number, and R_i is the back-diagonal matrix of order b_i .

By Lemma 3.5, there is an $OD(2^d; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$ for some suitable integer $d \geq 2$. Since for $d \geq 2$, $\gcd(4q, 2^d) = 4$, Lemma 3.3 implies that there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(4n; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$. Note that if some of the k_i 's are zero, then we consider the zero matrices. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose that d is any positive integer. Then there is an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a $W(4n, d)$.*

Proof. It is a well known theorem of Lagrange [6] that every positive integer can be written in the sum of four integer squares. Let $d = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2$. From Theorem 3.6, there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(4n; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$, and therefore a $W(4n, d)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that d is the sum of three integer squares. Then there exists an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a skew-symmetric $W(4n, d)$.*

Proof. Consider $d = a^2 + b^2 + c^2$, for some integers a, b and c . Substituting $k_1 = a$, $k_2 = b$, $k_3 = c$ and $k_4 = 1$ in Theorem 3.6 gives the result. Note that the existence of an $OD(n; 1, h)$ is equivalent to existence of a skew-symmetric $W(n, h)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that d is any positive integer. Then there exists an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a skew-symmetric $W(8n, d)$.*

Proof. By Lagrange's theorem [12], one can write $d = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2$, where k_i 's are nonnegative integers. Let A, B, C and D be the same matrices as in Theorem 3.6. It can be seen that the following matrix gives an $OD(8q; 1, k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$, where q is obtained as in Theorem 3.6, and is an odd number:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} xA & yB & zC & uD & wI_q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -yB & xA & uD^T & -zC^T & 0 & wI_q & 0 & 0 \\ -zC & -uD^T & xA & yB^T & 0 & 0 & wI_q & 0 \\ -uD & zC^T & -yB^T & xA & 0 & 0 & 0 & wI_q \\ \hline wI_q & 0 & 0 & 0 & -xA & yB^T & zC^T & uD^T \\ 0 & wI_q & 0 & 0 & -yB^T & -xA & uD & -zC \\ 0 & 0 & wI_q & 0 & -zC^T & -uD & -xA & yB \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & wI_q & -uD^T & zC & -yB & -xA \end{array} \right].$$

From Corollary 3.2, there is an $OD(2^d; 1, k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$ for some suitable integer $d \geq 3$. Since for $d \geq 3$, $\gcd(8q, 2^d) = 8$, Lemma 3.3 implies that there is an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for any $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(8n; 1, k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$, and so a skew-symmetric $W(8n, d)$. $\square$

Example 3.1. Suppose that $k = 92$. Let $k_1 = 2$, $k_2 = 4$, $k_3 = 6$ and $k_4 = 6$ in Theorem 3.6. Also, let $q_{11} = 2$, $q_{21} = 1$, $q_{12} = 4$, $q_{22} = 1$, $q_{13} = 2$, $q_{23} = 3$, $q_{14} = 2$ and $q_{24} = 3$. Then $b_1 = \text{LCM}\{7, 21, 7, 7\} = 21$, and $b_2 = \text{LCM}\{3, 3, 13, 13\} = 39$. By Theorem 3.6, there is an

$$OD(4 \cdot 21 \cdot 39; 2^2, 4^2, 6^2, 6^2).$$

From Lemma 3.5, there is an $OD(2^{13}; 2^2, 4^2, 6^2, 6^2)$. By Lemma 3.3, since $h = \gcd(4 \cdot 21 \cdot 39, 2^{13}) = 4$, we have $N(92) \leq 2^{11} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$, and so for each $n \geq N(92)$, there are a $W(4n, 92)$ and a skew-symmetric $W(8n, 92)$.

4 Acknowledgement

The paper constitutes Chapter 3 of the author's Ph.D. thesis written under the direction of Professor Hadi Kharaghani at the University of Lethbridge. The author would like to thank Professor Hadi Kharaghani for introducing the problem and his very useful guidance toward solving the problem and also Professor Rob Craigen for his time and great help.

References

- [1] Craigen, R. (1991): Constructions for orthogonal matrices, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Waterloo.
- [2] Eades, P. (1976): Some asymptotic existence results for orthogonal designs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 1(1): 109–118.
- [3] Geramita, A. V. and Seberry, J. (1979): Orthogonal designs, Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics, Quadratic forms and Hadamard matrices, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 45.
- [4] Ghaderpour, E. (2013): Asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Lethbridge (Canada), ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI.
- [5] Goethals J. M. and Seidel J. J. (1967): Orthogonal matrices with zero diagonal. *Canad. J. Math.*, 19: 1001–1010.
- [6] Ireland, K. and Rosen, M. I. (1972): Elements of number theory. Including an introduction to equations over finite fields. Bogden & Quigley, Inc., Publishers, Tarrytown-on-Hudson, N.Y.
- [7] Robinson, P. J. (1977): Using product designs to construct orthogonal designs. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 16(2): 297–305.
- [8] Seberry, J. and Whiteman, A. L. (1975): Some results on weighing matrices. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 12(3): 433–447.
- [9] Serre, J. P. (1973): A course in arithmetic. Springer-Verlag, New York, Translated from the French, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7.
- [10] Shapiro, D. B. (1974): Similarities, quadratic forms, and Clifford algebras. Pro- Quest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Berkeley.

- [11] Sylvester, J. J. (1867): Thoughts on inverse orthogonal matrices, simultaneous sign- successions, and tessellated pavements in two or more colors, with applications to Newton's rule, ornamental tile-work, and the theory of numbers. *Phil, Mag.*, 34: 461–475.
- [12] Vinogradov, I. M. (1954): Elements of number theory. Dover Publications Inc., New York, Translated by S. Kravetz.